# Performance-Based Seismic Design Guidelines for Tall Buildings Ch. 5: Seismic Input (Ground Motion)

**TBI Committee Members** 



C. Crouse J. Stewart Y. Bozorgnia

Tall Buildings Initiative Workshop, Los Angeles

April, 14, 2009

## Presentation

1. Seismic Hazard Analysis (SHA)

- Probabilistic
- Deterministic
- Site-Response Analysis

2. Soil-Foundation-Structure Interaction

- Kinematic
- Inertial
- Input Motion



# Presentation (cont.)

3. Selection and Scaling of Accelerograms

- Identification of Controlling Seismic Sources
- Accelerogram Selection Guidelines
- Accelerogram Modifications





# Two SHA Approaches (cont.)

- 2. Site-Specific (Preferred)
  - Probabilistic
  - Deterministic



# **Uniform Hazard Spectrum**





#### Cascadia Earthquake Sources





#### Contribution to 2475-yr Ground Motion Hazard

Lavizzo Park, Seattle (47.6° N, 122.3° W) 2008 USGS PSHA





## **Basin Effects**



- Amplify long period motions
- Increase duration



## Seattle Basin



Ref.: Frankel et al. (2009)

### Seattle Basin – EW Profile



PEER

## CSZ M 9.2 Scenario (Yang, 2009)





#### Simulated CSZ M 9.2 Rock & Soil (Basin) Ground Motions for Seattle



# Response Spectra for Seattle Fault & CSZ Scenarios









# 2002 & 2008 USGS $S_{DS}$ & $S_{DI}$ , Site Class D, Seattle



# Response Spectra for Seattle Fault & CSZ Scenarios



PEER

## Accelerogram Selection and Scaling

Identify controlling earthquakes

Select representative accelerograms

 Modify accelerograms to match target Sa



#### Contribution to 2475-yr Ground Motion Hazard

Lavizzo Park, Seattle (47.6° N, 122.3° W) 2008 USGS PSHA



#### CMS – $\epsilon$ Parameter





## Number of Accelerograms - N

## **7** (minimum)

Maximum numberSE and GE decision



# N depends on:

# controlling earthquakes
Median/mean or maximum structural response
Target Sa





## $M \ge \sim 8$ , Long Duration Motion

San Andreas fault M ~ 8

Cascadia and S. Alaska subduction zone
 M 9+



#### Past and Future Seattle Ground Motions





## Accelerogram Modification

Constant Scaling

Spectral Matching



## **Constant Scaling Method**

#### Sa (g)



# Spectral Matching





#### Accelerogram Selection and Scaling Recommendations

- $N \ge 7$  (max N limited by \$ and time)
- Use M-R deaggregations → controlling EQs
- CMS use for multiple M-R → different Sa shapes
- Scaling (constant or spectral matching)
   SE's decision
- Simulated Accelerograms (M > ~ 8)
   + long duration and basin effects

- very limited no. qualified providers
Peer Review – Extremely Important



## Site Response Analysis



## Recommendations

 Don't do SRA for stiff soil sites; account for local geology through GMPE (i.e., select stiff soil GMPE or appropriate site terms in GMPE)

#### **Possible Exceptions**





#### **Required in ASCE 7-05**



# SRA (cont.)

#### Tall Embedded Building



#### Site Response Analysis

(may not be necessary)





PEER

# SRA (cont.)

#### Tall Building on Piles

#### Site Response Analysis (necessary)

\_JMM00000-

Marin



#### Site Class F



input

- motion

#### Soil-Foundation-Structure Interaction (SFSI)





# SFSI for MCE



(c) Model for maximum-considered earthquake  Linear springs and dashpots model soil
 -foundation interaction

Input motion same at all points along foundation

 See FEMA 356 and 440, ASCE 41-06, ATC 40, and other references for details



## **Basement Wall – Soil Interaction**

Gravity





