USGS Tsunami Scenario; Perspective on PBTE from an Applied Research Project

Keith Porter, University of Colorado

PEER Annual Meeting 1 Oct 2011 Berkeley, CA

Outline

- USGS SAFR Project
- Tsunami scenario elements
- Possible engineering scope
- Possible engineering research needs
- Engineering impact studies
- SAFR engineering priorities vs PEER
 PBEE

USGS SAFR Project

- SoCal Multihazards Demonstration Project becoming Science Applications For Risk Reduction (SAFR) Project
- Demonstrate how science can improve resiliency to natural hazards
- Multi-hazard: earthquakes, floods, tsunamis, wildfires,...
- Stakeholders set priorities: *one* severe event worth planning for; not worst case
- "ShakeOut" involved 50+ agencies and 8M+ exercise participants annually
- Next: Eastern Aleutian tsunami scenario







What is out there to be damaged?

44+ bridges w/abutments ≤20' AMSL



14+ stretches of highway



14+ WWTPs



44+ communities



26+ power plants



Ports, marinas, boat basins



Possible engineering scope



Possible engineering research needs



Engineering impact outline

- Exposure: what is out there to be damaged & what are its relevant attributes ("asset definition")?
- Literature: evidence of past losses & vulnerability
- Environmental excitation (PEER's "IM")
- Realistic damage ("DM"), repair costs ("DV_{\$}")
- Restoration activities & time (" DV_{\odot} "), interactions
- Low-hanging fruit for mitigation
- Research needs

SAFR versus PEER PBEE

- More emphasis on category-level performance

 Interest in community-level resiliency
- More interest macroscopic category attributes
- More emphasis on restoration activities
- Less on probabilistic performance
- More on emergency planning & mitigation
- More on lifeline interaction
- More on operator judgment & experience
 - How do we demonstrate model quality?

Thanks

keith@cohen-porter.net (626) 233-9758